



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/605,541	10/07/2003	Yuh-Shyang Wu	SPCP0011USA	2540	
27765	7590 05/02/2006	•	EXAMINER		
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION			BECK, ALEXANDER S		
P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2629		
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/605,54	1	WU ET AL.				
		Examiner	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Art Unit				
		Alexander	S. Beck	2629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTAL INGUIDANT CHARLES AND AND THE MAILING Expressions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH .136(a). In no even d will apply and will tte, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION Int, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a)☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 (</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is no ance except f	- on-final. for formal matters, pre					
Diamani4		Expano Qui	1910, 1000 O.B. 11, 4	JO 0.0. 210.				
	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawd.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from con	•					
Applicati	on Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 October 2003</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin The specific contents of the examin to the specific contents of the s	e: a)  acce e drawing(s) be ction is require	e held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	3)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	•				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. The final claimed limitation, presumed to be Claim 13, has not been properly numbered.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1,6-8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by *Adler* (U.S. Patent No. 6,791,528 B2, hereinafter ADLER).

As to independent Claim 1, ADLER teaches/suggests a display device in FIG. 3B comprising: a display (61a); and a display base (61b) comprising: a housing; and a luminous module (64a,64b,64d) installed inside the housing for emitting light (ADLER: column 3, line 60 – column 4, line 10).

As to Claim 6, ADLER teaches/suggests wherein the luminous module comprises a plurality of light-emitting diodes (ADLER: column 3, lines 63-65).

As to Claim 7, ADLER teaches/suggests wherein the housing of the display base is made of transparent material (ADLER: column 3, line 66 – column 4, line 8).

As to Claim 8, it is inherently suggested in the teachings of ADLER that the housing of the display base is made of wear-resisting material since it is designed to be handled by a person and for providing protection of all electrical components within the housing.

As to Claim 13, ADLER teaches/suggests wherein the display base further comprises a power module (68a,68b,68d) for supplying the electric power for the luminous module (ADLER: column 3, lines 60-63).

4. Claims 1-3 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by *Kerr* (U.S. Publication No. 2002/0190975 A1, hereinafter KERR).

As to independent Claim 1, KERR teaches/suggests a display device (100,150) in FIGS. 5,7 comprising: a display (102,104,106,108,110,112); and a display base comprising: a housing (120); and a luminous module (114) installed inside the housing for emitting light (KERR: pages 5-7, paragraphs [0066,0067,0080]).

As to Claim 2, KERR teaches/suggests in FIGS. 5,7 wherein the display comprises a first electric connecting port and the display base further comprises a second electric connecting port for connecting to the first electric connecting port (connecting ports inherently required in the teachings of KERR for transmitting signals from elements 102,104,106,108,110,112 to light source 114).

Application/Control Number: 10/605,541

Art Unit: 2629

Moreover, KERR teaches/suggests wherein the internal components of the display section may include a power supply (KERR: page 8, paragraph [0081]). It is therefore suggested that the luminous module (114) of the display base receives all processing related signals (e.g., control and power) from the various internal components of the display section (e.g., 102,104,106,108,110,112 and power supply).

As to Claim 3, KERR teaches/suggests wherein the display further comprises a light control module (112) for controlling the energy of light emitted by the luminous module (KERR: page 5, paragraph [0063] – page 6, paragraph [0069]).

As to Claim 6, KERR teaches/suggests wherein the luminous module comprises a plurality of light-emitting diodes (KERR: page 5, paragraph [0066]).

As to Claim 7, KERR teaches/suggests wherein the housing of the display base is made of transparent material (KERR: page 6, paragraph [0067]).

As to Claim 8, KERR teaches/suggests wherein the housing of the display base is made of wear-resisting material (KERR: page 6, paragraph [0067]).

As to Claim 9, KERR teaches/suggests wherein a pattern is on the housing of the display base, and the pattern refracts light emitted by the luminous module (KERR: page 6, paragraphs [0068-0069]).

As to Claim 10, KERR teaches/suggests wherein the pattern includes a mist surface for refracting light emitted by the luminous module (KERR: page 6, paragraphs [0068-0069]).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Adler* (U.S. Patent No. 6,791,528 B2, hereinafter ADLER).

As to Claims 4 and 5, note the above discussion of ADLER.

ADLER does not disclose expressly wherein the display is a plasma television or a liquid crystal display television.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that a flat panel display, as taught by ADLER, having either a plasma display or liquid crystal display technology with an integrated television tuner is old and well known in the art.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of ADLER such that the display device was created with a plasma display or liquid crystal display technology and capable of displaying received television signals through the use of an integrated television tuner.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to improve display brightness and contras with plasma and liquid crystal display technologies and permit the reception and displaying of over-the-air broadcast television signals.

7. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kerr* (U.S. Publication No. 2002/0190975 A1) in view of Owen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 2,623,315, hereinafter OWEN).

As to Claims 11 and 12, note the above discussion of KERR.

KERR does not disclose expressly wherein the pattern is made from a notch of the housing or wherein the pattern is made from a flange of the housing.

OWEN teaches/suggests the surface of an illuminated ornamental/decorative design having either raised rib portions (i.e., flange) or depressed groove portions (i.e., notch) through which light can be transmitted (OWEN: column 1, line 50 – column 2, line 2; column 5, lines 44-52).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of KERR such that the illuminated ornamental/decorative

Application/Control Number: 10/605,541

Art Unit: 2629

design surface (e.g., housing) comprised either raised rib portions (i.e., flange) or depressed

groove portions (i.e., notch), as taught/suggested by OWEN.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to further enhance the

appearance of the ornamental/decorative design and provide a luminous background having

various degrees of light reflectivity (OWEN: column 5, lines 44-52).

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure: Shelton (U.S. Patent No. 5,536,558)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Alexander S. Beck whose telephone number is (571) 272-7765. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-3638. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

asb 4/17/06

SUMATI LEFKOWITZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 7